

①

3-25

Th<sup>m</sup> Given PID  $D$ , if  $a \in D$  is irreducible, it is prime.

Pf.: Suppose  $a \mid bc$ . Want to know  
 $a \mid b$  or  $\boxed{a \mid c}$ .

Look @  $I = \{ax+by : x, y \in D\}$

Since  $D$  is a PID,  $I = \langle d \rangle$ .

Now  $a \in D$ , so  $a = dr$ . Since  $a$  is irreducible, either  $d$  or  $r$  is a unit.

(i) If  $d$  is a unit, then  $I = D$ . If so,  
we can write  $1 = ax + by$ . Look @  
 $c \cdot I = \underbrace{acx}_{a \mid c} + \underbrace{bcy}$ . Does  $a \mid c$   
a divides this

$\Rightarrow a \mid c$

(2)

(ii) Suppose  $r$  is a unit. Claim  $\langle d \rangle = \langle a \rangle$

$b \in I, \exists t \in D \Rightarrow b = at, \text{ so } a \mid b.$

Hence either  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ .

So  $a$  is prime.

=====

$\mathbb{Z}, F[x]$  are PID's ... but

$\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a PID.

Consider  $I = \{ f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] : f(0) \text{ even} \}$

Claim:  $I$  is not representable as

$\langle h(x) \rangle$ . If this were true there

would be  $f(x) \neq g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that

$2 = h(x)f(x)$ . Both  $2$  and  $x \in I$ .

Look @ degree rule.

$\circ \quad \circ \quad \circ$

$\deg 2 = \deg h + \deg f \Rightarrow \deg h = 0$

(3)

Conclude  $\deg h \Rightarrow h = c$ .

So  $2 = h(1) + f(1)$  so  $h(1) \in \pm 1$  or  $\pm 2$

But  $1 \notin \langle h(x) \rangle$ , so  $h(x) = \pm 2$ .

Then  $x = (\pm 2)g(x) = \pm 2g(x)$ .

---

Def<sup>n</sup> Unique Factorization Domain

(UFD) is a domain where :

(i) Every non-zero non-unit is a product of irreducibles

(ii) the factorization is unique up to order of factors (i.e.  $15 = 3 \cdot 5 = 5 \cdot 3$ )

---

Euclidean Domain  $\xrightarrow{*}$  PID  $\xrightarrow{*}$  UFD

①

Def<sup>n</sup> ACC : a domain D has the  
ACC condition (ascending chain condition)  
iff given a sequence of nested ideals  
 $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \dots \subset I_k \dots$ , is in fact a  
~~will break~~  
finite sequence. Domain/ring is  
called noetherian (nöterian)

Th<sup>m</sup>/ If D is a PID, then  
D has ACC.

Pf: Suppose we have  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots \subset I_k \dots$

Note:  $\bigcup_k I_k$  is a domain.

$\bigcup_k I_k$  is an ideal. Since  $\bigcup_k I_k \subset D$

(5)

Since  $D$  is a PID,  $\bigcup_k I_k$  is generated by single element  $d$  i.e.  $\bigcup_k I_k = \langle d \rangle$   
 $d$  must be in some  $I_m$ . But then

$$I_m = \langle d \rangle = \bigcup_k I_k, \text{ so}$$

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \cdots I_k \cdots =$$

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \cdots I_m \} I_m \subset I_{m+1} \cdots$$

So  $D$  has the ACC.